

### **Society of Government Economists**

# Estimating the "Trump Effect" on the Washington Region's Economy

Jeannette Chapman


Deputy Director and Senior Research Associate
Stephen S. Fuller Institute
Schar School of Policy and Government
George Mason University





July 25, 2017


# Role of the Federal Government in the Washington Region's Economy











### **Estimating the "Trump Effect"**



### Estimating the Direct Effect of the Trump Blueprint on Federal Activity in the Region

Assumption: the percent changes by agency as outlined in the Blueprint will be applied proportionally in the region

### **Data Sources:**

- Civilian employment by agency from
  - Consolidated Federal Funds Report (2010),
  - Federal Employment Cube (March 2016), and
  - BLS (CES, December 2016)
- Federal procurement from USASpending.gov (FY 2010 and FY 2016)
- Federal grants from USASpending.gov (FY 2016)

Source: The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU



# The Direct Effect of the Trump Blueprint on Federal Jobs in the Region

	Federa	l Jobs	As a % of Federal Jobs		
	Low	High	Low	High	
District of Columbia	(14,000)	(15,000)	-7.0%	-7.4%	
Suburban Maryland	(5,500)	(6,000)	-6.8%	-7.3%	
Northern Virginia	(500)	(3,600)	-0.4%	-4.2%	
Washington Region	(20,000)	(24,600)	-5.4%	-6.6%	

Source: The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU



# The Direct Effect of the Trump Blueprint on Federal Wages and Salaries in the Region

	Federal Wages (Billions)				As a % of Federal Wages		
	Low		High		Low	High	
District of Columbia	\$	(1.6)	\$	(1.7)	-7.1%	-7.6%	
Suburban Maryland		(0.6)		(0.6)	-7.5%	-7.2%	
Northern Virginia		(0.1)		(0.4)	-0.9%	-5.1%	
Washington Region	\$	(2.3)	\$	(2.7)	-5.9%	-7.0%	

Source: The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU



# The Direct Effect of the Trump Blueprint on Federal Procurement in the Region

	<b>Federal Procurement</b>				As a % of Federal	
	(Billions)			Procurement		
	Low		High		Low	High
District of Columbia	\$	(0.7)	\$	(8.0)	-3.6%	-4.0%
Suburban Maryland		(0.9)		(0.9)	-6.1%	-6.2%
Northern Virginia		8.0		0.5	2.0%	1.3%
Washington Region	\$	(8.0)	\$	(1.2)	-1.2%	-1.7%

Source: The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU



## The Direct Effect of the Trump Blueprint on Federal Grants in the Region

	Federal Grants (Billions)		As a % of Federal Grants	
District of Columbia	\$	(0.9)	-15.5%	
Suburban Maryland		(0.1)	-6.5%	
Northern Virginia		(0.1)	-9.8%	
Washington Region	\$	(1.1)	-13.5%	

Source: The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU



## The Direct Effect of the Trump Blueprint on Federal Activity in the Region

- -20,000 to -24,600 federal jobs,
  - = -\$2.3 and -\$2.7 billion of federal salaries
- -\$800 million to -\$1.2 billion in federal procurement spending,
  - = -12,000 private sector contractor jobs
- - \$1.1 billion in federal grants




Source: The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU

Source: The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU



STEPHEN S. FULLER INSTITUTE

# Scenarios of Future Federal Activity in the Region Change in Federal Spending No change from baseline Sequester-like change from baseline Fully implemented Blueprint Increasingly less likely




### Employment Change by Scenario, 2017 - 2021 Major Forecast Differences By Sector (000s)

	Total Job Growth	Federal Jobs	Professional & Business Service Jobs
Baseline	172.2	(16.5)	100.8
2011 (a)	136.5	(26.7)	75.5
Trump (b)	117.3	(36.5)	68.4

Sources: IHS Economics, The Stephen S. Fuller Institute at the Schar School, GMU (forecast as of April 2017)
(a) Incorporating spending reductions providing for in the Budget Control Act of 2011.
(b) Reflecting federal spending reductions in Trump FY 2018 Budget Blueprint released March 16, 2017





### **Questions?**

jchapm13@gmu.edu





